UCD Training



What

- Review the energy intensity values of all sites within a board's portfolio
 - energy intensity = the total energy consumption of a site/total building area
 - lower energy intensity values are better because it means a site is consuming less energy per ft²/m²

Why

- Energy intensity is a common denominator that allows users to compare sites with similar functions (elementary, secondary, administrative) but different variables:
 - size
 - year of construction
 - locations (when weather normalized)



How

Report: Energy Intensity Trend (EDU04)

- Date Range: FY2012 2016 (latest 5 year fiscal period)
- Normalization: local weather station

Energy Intensity Trend Report Profile

Purpose

to provide the energy intensity value of every site for each of the last five fiscal years



How to Generate the Report

1. Under "Portfolio Tree", click on "your board's name"



2. Select "Exports" tab





How to Generate the Report cont'd

3. Select "Energy Intensity Trend EDU04"

General	Performance	Dashboards	Documents	Reports	Exports				
	Ontario Ministry of Education								
Peer Inv	ventory - Unver	ntaire des pairs	s (EDUPI)		-> Energ	<u>y Intensity Trend / Tendance liées à l'intensité énergétique (EDU04)</u>			
Board Profil(e) du Conseil (EDU01)					Over	Overview of Boards' Energy Use / Aperçu de la consommation d'énergie du			
Energy Intensity Comparison / Comparaison de l'intensité				<u>tensité</u>	conse	il (EDU05)			
énergétique (EDU02)					Board	l Water / Eau du Conseil (EDU07)			
Energy Intensity per Student / Intensité énergétique par étudiant									
(EDU03)								



How to Generate the Report cont'd

- 4. Select:
 - "Date Range": latest 5 Fiscal Years (FY 2012 2016)
 - Normalization: local weather station (for your board)
- 5. Click "Done"

EXPORT SETTINGS: ENERGY	INTENSITY TREND / TENDANCE LIÉES À L'INTENSITÉ ÉNERGÉTIQUE
Title	Energy Intensity Trend / Tendance liées à l'intensité énergétique
Date Range	
Date Range	FY 2012 - 2016 🔻 🗲
Start Date (inclusive)	2011-09-01
End Date (exclusive)	2016-09-01
Normalization	
Scenario	KITCHENER-WATERLOO (FY2013) V
	Done Cancel
	Aegen

The Energy Intensity Trends EDO04 Report

		FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015/	FY2016 /
		AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
		Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy
		Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity
Energy Intensity Trend /		(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /
Tendance liées à l'intensité		Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité
énergétique	Year Built /	énergétiq	énergéti	énergéti	énergétiq	énergétiq
ABC District School Board	Année de	ue (IE)	que (IE)	que (IE)	ue (IE)	ue (IE)
Schools / Écoles	construction	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft	(ekWh/ft ²	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
AB Elementary School	1992	19.91	18.28	18.26	20.21	17.75
CD Elementary School	1988	10.76	19.15	18.80	12.37	11.74
EF Elementary School	1967	22.87	25.03	23.77	19.40	24.77
HI Elementary School	1978	25.79	28.45	28.16	24.15	25.40
LM Board Office	1961	20.29	20.58	20.62	21.33	19.85
NO Facility Services	1979	22.28	20.69	20.86	19.97	20.62
PQ Elementary School	1959	19.52	18.44	18.60	18.79	18.09
RS Elementary School	1989	22.74	16.41	16.03	16.12	17.06
TU Elementary School	2003	20.60	19.92	16.89	17.58	13.75
VW Secondary School	1976	18.15	17.77	17.90	20.88	21.82
XY Elementary School	1971	29.85	29.11	31.32	24.46	35.70
ZZ Centre	1957	12.81	136.42	952.88	25.08	23.83



Preparing the Report for Analysis

Step 1 – Insert a column and assign a value indicating the type of facility

- A = Administrative Building
- E = Elementary School
- S = Secondary School

	A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н
				FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
				AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
				Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy
				Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity
	Energy Intensity Trend /			(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /
	Tendance liées à l'intensité			Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité
	énergétique		Year Built /	énergétiq	énergéti	énergéti	énergétiq	énergétiq
	ABC District School Board		Année de	ue (IE)	que (IE)	que (IE)	ue (IE)	ue (IE)
	Schools / Écoles		construction	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft	(ekWh/ft ²	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
	AB Elementary School	E	1992	19.91	18.28	18.26	20.21	17.75
	CD Elementary School	E	1988	10.76	19.15	18.80	12.37	11.74
	EF Elementary School	Е	1967	22.87	25.03	23.77	19.40	24.77
	HI Secondary School	S	1990	19.96	22.15	24.25	20.20	18.33
	LM Board Office	A	1961	20.29	20.58	20.62	21.33	19.85
	NO Facility Services	A	1979	22.28	20.69	20.86	19.97	20.62
	PQ Elementary School	E	1959	19.52	18.44	18.60	18.79	18.09
	RS Elementary School	E	1989	22.74	16.41	16.03	16.12	17.06
	TU Elementary School	E	2003	20.60	19.92	16.89	17.58	13.75
	VW Secondary School	S	1976	18.15	17.77	17.90	20.88	21.82
	XY Elementary School	Е	1971	29.85	29.11	31.32	24.46	35.70
<u> </u>	ZZ Centre	A	1957	12.81	136.42	952.88	25.08	23.83



Preparing the Report for Analysis cont'd

2. Highlight all sites

A	B	С	D	E	F	G	H
			FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
			AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
			Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy
			Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity
Energy Intensity Trend /			(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /
Tendance liées à l'intensité			Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité
énergétique		Year Built /	énergétiq	énergéti	énergéti	énergétiq	énergétiq
ABC District School Board		Année de	ue (IE)	que (IE)	que (IE)	ue (IE)	ue (IE)
Schools / Écoles		construction	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft	(ekWh/ft ²	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
AB Elementary School	Ε	1992	19.91	18.28	18.26	20.21	17.75
CD Elementary School	E	1988	10.76	19.15	18.80	12.37	11.74
EF Elementary School	E	1967	22.87	25.03	23.77	19.40	24.77
	c	1000	10.96	22 15	24.25	20.20	18.33
HI Secondary School	3	1330	13.30	22.15	24.20	20.20	
LM Board Office	A	1950	20.29	20.58	20.62	21.33	19.85



Preparing the Report for Analysis cont'd

3. Select the "Sort & Filter" tool from the toolbar; select "Custom Sort" function



NOTE: the screen shots on this page are representative of one version of Excel and may differ from what you see on your computer

4. Under "Sort by" select "Column B"; under "Order" select "A to Z"

Add Level Copy Level Options My data has headers Column Sort On Order Sort by Column B Values A to Z
Column Sort On Order Sort by Column B Values A to Z
Sort by Column B Values A to Z
OK Cancel

Preparing the Report for Analysis cont'd

5. Now all your sites are segregated by facility type

				FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015/	FY2016 /
				AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
				Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy	Energy
				Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity
	Energy Intensity Trend /			(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /	(EI) /
	Tendance liées à l'intensité			Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité	Intensité
	énergétique		Year Built /	énergétiq	énergéti	énergéti	énergétiq	énergétiq
	ABC District School Board		Année de	ue (IE)	que (IE)	que (IE)	ue (IE)	ue (IE)
	Schools / Écoles		construction	(ekWh/ft ²)	(ekWh/ft	(ekWh/ft ²	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft ²)
٢	LM Board Office	Α	1961	20.29	20.58	20.62	21.33	19.85
ł	NO Facility Services	A	1979	22.28	20.69	20.86	19.97	20.62
L	ZZ Centre	Α	1957	12.81	136.42	952.88	25.08	23.83
٢	AB Elementary School	Е	1992	19.91	18.28	18.26	20.21	17.75
	CD Elementary School	Е	1988	10.76	19.15	18.80	12.37	11.74
	EF Elementary School	E	1967	22.87	25.03	23.77	19.40	24.77
ł	PQ Elementary School	Е	1959	19.52	18.44	18.60	18.79	18.09
	RS Elementary School	Е	1989	22.74	16.41	16.03	16.12	17.06
	TU Elementary School	Е	2003	20.60	19.92	16.89	17.58	13.75
	XY Elementary School	Е	1971	29.85	29.11	31.32	24.46	35.70
٢	HI Secondary School	S	1990	19.96	22.15	24.25	20.20	18.33
1	VW Secondary School	S	1976	18.15	17.77	17.90	20.88	21.82

How to Use the Report

1. What is the energy intensity (EI) trend for each individual site?

Option #1 - The value stays consistent across all 5 years

FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
Energy Intensity (EI) /				
Intensité	Intensité	Intensité énergétique	Intensité	Intensité énergétique
énergétique (IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)
(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
18.82	18.44	18.60	18.79	18.59

- the building has not implemented any energy management strategies during the 5 fiscal year
- if a strategy was implemented at a building
 - example new energy efficient equipment, BAS, occupant conservation program – it has not made an impact on consumption
- check for changes/usage at the facility
 - example additional high energy intense portables/portapac installed, more community use of school, B&A programs, summer use of school etc.



How to Use the Report cont'd

Option #2 - The El value fluctuates wildly from one year to another

FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
Energy Intensity (EI) /				
Intensité	Intensité	Intensité énergétique	Intensité	Intensité énergétique
énergétique (IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)
(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
12.81	136.42	952.88	25.08	23.83

- FY 2012 has a very low value likely due to missing data or wrong units
- FY 2013 and FY 2014 are extraordinarily high values
 - likely due to data error, such as
 - wrong units entered for area of building (ex: entered ft² data but unit of preference was m²)
 - energy data for more than one year
 - addition built onto the school and existing utility service used
 - billing adjustment from LDC



How to Use the Report cont'd

Option #3 - The El value increases annually from one year to the next

FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
Energy Intensity (EI) /				
Intensité	Intensité	Intensité énergétique	Intensité	Intensité énergétique
énergétique (IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)
(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
18.15	19.37	19.90	20.88	21.82

- the building's energy consumption is increasing every year
 - need to assess if there is a rationale to justify increases
 - portables/portapak rooms added
 - new additions, such as FDK
 - new programs, such as B&A, CUS, summer school
 - new equipment added for comfort/code
 - such as new/added ventilation
 - equipment runs for a longer time period review BAS schedule
- if energy management strategies implemented, why weren't they successful?



How to Use the Report cont'd

Option #4

The EI value consistently decreases annually from FY 2012 to FY 2016

FY2012 /	FY2013 /	FY2014 /	FY2015 /	FY2016 /
AF2012	AF2013	AF2014	AF2015	AF2016
Energy Intensity (EI) /				
Intensité	Intensité	Intensité énergétique	Intensité	Intensité énergétique
énergétique (IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)	énergétique (IE)	(IE)
(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)	(ekWh/ft²)
18.60	17.92	16.89	15.58	13.75

- the building has implemented annual energy management strategies that have resulted in incremental reduction of energy consumption year-over-year
 - want to note which energy management strategy was implement in each year and its impact on reducing consumption
 - identify projects that worked well that could be implemented at other sites
 - update the board's energy plan



How to Use the Report cont'd

- 2. Are the sites at or above the recognized energy benchmark for the education sector?
 - the Toronto Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) has set the following weather normalized energy benchmarks for the education sector

Building type	Targets					
	Electricity	Natural Gas	Total Energy			
Elementary	5.5 kWh/ft ²	6.5 ekWh/ft²	12 ekWh/ft²			
Secondary	7.5 kWh/ft ²	7.5 ekWh/ft ²	15 ekWh/ft²			
Administrative	12.5 kWh/ft ²	7.5 ekWh/ft²	20 ekWh/ft²			

<u>Notes</u>

- the above benchmark values are normalized to Toronto International Airport weather station
- reference Sustainable Schools White Paper http://sustainableschools.ca/wp-content/uploads/2016/05/Top-Boards-Report-White-Paper-May-2016-final.pdf
 - Section 3.2 Weather-Normalization and Target-Setting
 - Appendix A Weather Stations



How to Use the Report cont'd

Review all buildings against their sector energy benchmark

Identify sites that are **significantly** over the benchmark for each type of building

- which utility is most intense for the building
 - electricity, natural gas or alternative fuel
- use the UCD's Peer Inventory to compare your school with other similar schools
 - at your board
 - across the province
- review operational procedures with other departments within your board to identify opportunities in:
 - scheduling, maintenance, energy, capital, etc.
- review the best practices from the OMC Energy Management Sub-Committee
 - identify any that could be implemented
- contact your peers at other school boards to discuss



Next Steps

- the analysis of the energy performance trends should identify
 - worst performing buildings (in terms of energy and costs)
 - analyze the electricity and natural gas intensities for each site (see Cost Analysis presentation)
 - determine which utility is more intense at each site
 - analyze the electricity and natural gas costs per building area
 - prioritize capital investments between sites based on sites with both high intensity and high costs
 - best performing buildings (in terms of energy and costs)
 - identify successful energy management strategies that were implemented
 - assess the opportunity to implement these strategies at lowperforming buildings
 - assess risk tolerance
 - if costs for one utility is low due to current market conditions (commodity prices may not be sustainable)



Questions can be answered via the UCD Helpdesk

Email: <u>ucdb@aegent.ca</u> Phone: (416) 622-9449 ext. 115

